## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

## OSCAR ALEXIS GONZALEZ AGUILAR,

Petitioner,<sup>1</sup>

,

v.

No. 19-cv-0412 WJ/SMV

KEVIN McALEENAN, MATTHEW T. ALBENCE, WILLIAM P. BARR, FLOYD SAM FARMER, DEAN KING, and CHAD MILLER,

Respondents.

## ORDER FOR SERVICE AND RESPONSE

THIS MATTER is before the Court on the Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Doc. 1], and on the Motion for Order to Show Cause [Doc. 2], both filed on May 3, 2019. The Court will order Petitioner to effect service on Respondents within 30 days.<sup>2</sup> Further, the Court will grant the Motion for Order to Show Cause [Doc. 2] and require Respondents to respond to the Petition within 20 days of the date that they are served, showing cause why a Writ of Habeas Corpus should not issue. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2243 (2018).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Petitioner, no later than June 14, 2019, serve process on Respondents AND FURTHER file proof of service

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Petitioner identifies as female and uses the first name Kelly and female pronouns. [Doc. 1] at 2 n.1. Accordingly, the Court will refer to Petitioner as "she" or "her."

<sup>2</sup> The Court's obligation to serve most habeas petitions stems from the Rules Governing 2254 and 2255 Cases. For example, Rule 4 of the Rules Governing 2254 Cases provides that "[i]f the petition is not dismissed, . . . the clerk must serve a copy of the petition and any order on the respondent." If applicable, the *in forma pauperis* statute also provides a basis for court-supplied service. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d) ("The officers of the court shall issue and serve all process, and perform all duties in [*in forma pauperis*] cases."). Here, however, Petitioner is not proceeding under §§ 2254, 2255, or 1915. Her Petition challenges her immigration detention under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. [Doc. 1] at 4 (citing *Zadvydas v. Davis*, 533 U.S. 678, 687 (2001)). Accordingly, it appears to the Court that the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including the service provision of Rule 4, apply to this case. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 81(a)(4).

in conformity with the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 4, or show cause why not. If Petitioner does not timely comply, the Court may consider dismissal of this proceeding.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Respondents, within 20 days after service, respond to the Petition or otherwise show cause why a Writ of Habeas Corpus should not issue.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that if Petitioner desires to file a reply (traverse), she may do so within 14 days of the filing of the response.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court will set a hearing, if needed, at a later time.

See § 2243.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

STEPHAN M. VIDMAR United States Magistrate Judge